


Attorney Docket: 060258-0276663  
Client Reference: 2990235US/Sml/jku



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re PATENT APPLICATION of: EINOLA ET AL. Confirmation Number: 7538  
Application No.: 09/762,051 Group Art Unit: 2683

Filed: June 20, 2001

Examiner: DAGOSTA, STEPHEN M

Title: ARRANGING AUTHENTICATION AND CIPHERING IN MOBILE  
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

\* \* \* \* \*

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated November 7, 2005, the date for response to which is February 7, 2006, please reconsider the patentability of the pending claims based on the following remarks.

By this Response, no claims are amended, added or cancelled. Accordingly, claims 2-11 and 13-20 remain pending in the patent application.

Claims 2-11 and 14-20 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting based on Faccin *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,876,747). Without taking a position with respect to the merits or substance of this rejection and while preserving the right to distinguish over the cited reference, which is commonly owned, Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c) to overcome the rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection is moot.

The rejection having been addressed, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance, and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

If any point remains in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, please contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.